

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,501	12/23/1999	FRANCIS BIOLLEY	612.37981X00	7486
20457 7.	590 06/13/2003			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			PECHHOLD, ALEXANDRA K	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/471,501	BOILLEY, FRANCIS
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexandra K Pechhold	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover she t with	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this a ner: (1) a timely filed amendment Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	pplication. A proper reply to a which places the application in
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)	1
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date on event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the place and the form of the first of the form of the purpose of the expiration of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Set	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date see expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS a). The date on which the petition under period of extension and the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for the Office later than three months after the	mailing date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ag amount of the fee. The appropriate extension reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ente	red because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see I	Note below);	
(c)	ation in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ requesion application in condition for allowance because		considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim	· · ·	·—
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll	lows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 13-16.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 6,7,9,11,12, and 17-20.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_·	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

In applicant's independent claims 9 and 17, the applicant claims a catenary anchor system or a connector, and in claim 11 applicant claims a catenary anchor system and/or a connector. By claiming the alternative, the prior art does not need to disclose or teac a catenary anchor system. The connector, being the universal joint (5) or weight (4) in Remery serves to meet the limitation of a connector, since applicant is not claiming both the anchor system and the connector. The examiner indicated in the Final Rejection mailed 4/1/03 that indeed the weight or universal joint of Remery can be viewed as a connector.

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600